

REMARKS

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for careful consideration of the application.

The drawings were objected to by the Examiner because the text labels in blocks 405 – 409 were deemed to be misleading. The disclosure was objected to because of paragraph 0035 implied that the image contains both a foreground and a background.

Claims 1 – 20 were in the application. Claim 15 was objected to because of an informality. Claims 12, 14 – 16, and 18 – 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 – 7, 11 – 14, 17, and 18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). The subject matter of Claims 8 – 10, 15, 16, 19, and 20 was deemed allowable if the claims were rewritten in independent form and to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112.

A new drawing sheet is submitted herewith to overcome the Examiner's objections. Paragraph 0035 has been amended to clarify that it is the sepia hue of the image which is uniform, not the "background color".

Claims 1 – 20 have been cancelled. New claims 21 and 22 are submitted. The new claims attempt to better encapsulate what the Applicant believes to be the invention.

10007055-1

Amendment

7


Favorable action by the Examiner is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Hewlett-Packard Company
18110 SE 34th St.
Vancouver, WA 98683
360-212-0769

Douglas G. Keithley

By:


Larry D. Baker
Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 39,593